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Basic Slip Systems in FCC Metals (Aluminum)

Fig. 15-6. A unit cell of the face-centered cubic (FCC) crystal lattice.
Metals deform through the motion of layers of atoms, usually along the planes where they are most closely packed together.
To perform the operation shown above on a perfect crystal would require enormous Force as every bond would have to be broken and reformed. Metals are not observed to be that strong. Why?
Dislocation Mechanism for Metal Deformation

Dislocations Provide a Mechanism that makes metals soft and ductile (most times, too soft)

- Obstacles to dislocations strengthen the metal
  - May include particles, solute atoms, grain boundaries, other dislocations
  - One of the strongest obstacles: thermally precipitated phases
Crystals are never perfect; at least not outside of a laboratory

Imperfections exist at Different Levels of Structure:
• Macroscopic to
• Microscopic to
• Sub-microscopic

Figure 2.1 Structure of an Aluminum Alloy
Figure 2.3 Lattice Defects

Larger Substitutional Atom

Smaller Substitutional Atom

Vacancy
Grain Boundaries

- **Complex Effects - boundaries can focus intermetallics**
- **Form a break in the atomic lattice which can strengthen**
  - Only really effective at small grain sizes in 5XXX Al
  - Must be smallest feature or smaller microstructural features will control (rare in foundry alloys)
Stages in Precipitation Hardening

Solutionizing Stage

- Take metal temperature up to just short of the liquidus
  - Do not melt the casting
  - Dissolve all of the solute into the aluminum

- Quench the metal
  - Create a Super-Saturated Solid Solution
  - Hot water quench most commonly used
LPPM A356 Wheel - Rim Region

AFS Modification Rating = 5
Note Si phase coarsening

DAS = 24\(\mu m\)
Temperature Ranges for Solution Heat Treatment and Precipitation Heat Treatment

Temperature range for annealing

Temperature range for solution heat treating

Temperature range for precipitation heat treating
Quench Sensitivity

• Need to quench after solution treatment at a rapid enough rate to retain the alloying elements in solution so they can be precipitated during ageing
• Quenching too quickly leads to residual stresses and cracking
• Need to use the minimum quench rate possible which avoids precipitation of alloying elements
• Quench sensitivity refers to how much the age hardening response is reduced by slow quenching
• Aim to reduce quench sensitivity to allow slower cooling rates?
  – Use less concentrated alloys
  – Changes of minor alloy elements
  – Only quench quickly over critical temperature range
TTT and “C” Curves

Fig. 4. TTT curves for A356 alloy containing 0.4% Mg.

Figure 9. 95% and 90% iso-yield strength contours.

0.2 – 0.3 min
12 – 18 s

0.6% Mg
Complications wrt Foundry Alloys

Si can be drained from the matrix to existing Si particles
Mg can be lost by growth on existing Si particles

Figure 6. Critical times for the three quench precipitates. Hold times and temperatures for micrographs in Figures 2-5 are also indicated.
Raise temperature again to harden:

SSS $\Rightarrow$ GPZ $\Rightarrow$ $\theta''$ $\Rightarrow$ $\theta'$ $\Rightarrow$ $\theta$

**SSS:** Super Saturated Solid Solution
- Weak and Very Soft

**GPZ:** Guinier Preston Zones
- Stronger

$\theta'' + \theta'$: Increasing coherent precipitation
- Stronger Still

**$\theta$:** Large Incoherent Particles (CuAl$_2$ or Mg$_2$Si)
- Soften Again (overaged)
Decomposition - Effect on Hardness

- e.g. Al-Cu alloys

**Guinier-Preston Zones**
Ordered solute rich clusters
1 or 2 atom planes in thickness
Same crystal structure as matrix
Coherent

**Intermediate Precipitates**
Larger than GPZ
Distinct crystal structure
q'' coherent
q' semi-coherent

θ''

**Equilibrium Precipitate**
Large particles
Different crystal structure to matrix
Incoherent

θ

---

Hardness

Time

Peak hardness

Overaged
Initial Coherent Precipitate Formation

Coherent Precipitates:

- Share the matrix crystal structure
- Cause coherency strains when they achieve sufficient size.
- Strains which can be relieved by dislocations
- Will pin dislocations since these would increase the strain if they were to move away
  - i.e. higher forces required to move pinned dislocation and alloy is stronger.
Peak in Hardness Curve

Very small, coherent precipitates or zones. Dislocations can pass by cutting

Peak aged semi coherent resistant to cutting and bowing - effective barrier to dislocation motion

Large, incoherent precipitates. Dislocations can pass by bowing

Before | After
--- | ---
Slip Plane

Dislocation Line
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Coherency Strains Caused by Precipitates
## Precipitation Systems in Common Al Alloys

### Table 15-1.
Precipitation Hardening Systems in Aluminum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy System</th>
<th>Aging Sequence&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Coherency&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Structure&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Max. Size&lt;sup&gt;4,5&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al-Cu</td>
<td>SS→GP→θ''→θ'→θ</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>disk</td>
<td>6x100 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>θ''</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Tetr</td>
<td>disk</td>
<td>40x1000 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>θ'</td>
<td>C→N</td>
<td>Tetr-2</td>
<td>disk</td>
<td>150x6000 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(terminal phase: CuAl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;)→</td>
<td>θ</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>BC Tetr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Cu-Mg</td>
<td>SS→GP→S''→S'→S</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>16 Å dia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S''</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>unknown-Ord</td>
<td>rod</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S'</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Ortho</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 Å dia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(terminal phase: CuMgAl&lt;sub&gt;13&lt;/sub&gt;)→</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FC Ortho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Mg-Si</td>
<td>SS→GP→β''→β'→β</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>needle</td>
<td>60x1000 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>β''</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mono</td>
<td>needle</td>
<td>60x2000 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>β'</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Hex</td>
<td>rod</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(terminal phase: Mg&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;Si)→</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>FC C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Zn-Mg</td>
<td>SS→GP→η'→η</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>35 Å dia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zn&gt;Mg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>η'</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Mono</td>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>300 Å dia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(terminal phase: MgZn&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;)→</td>
<td>η</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Hex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Mg-Zn</td>
<td>SS→GP→T''→T</td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>1000 Å dia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mg&gt;Zn)</td>
<td></td>
<td>T''</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>sphere</td>
<td>1000 Å dia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(terminal phase: Mg&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;Zn&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;)→</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>BC C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. SS = supersaturated solid solution, GP = Guinier-Preston zones.
2. Coherency: C = coherent, SC = semi-coherent, N = noncoherent.
3. Crystal Structure: BC = body-centered, FC = face-centered, C = cubic, Hex = hexagonal, Ord = ordered, Ortho = orthorhombic, Mono = monoclinic, Tetr = tetragonal, Tetr-2 = tetragonal with different composition.
4. Compare the precipitate size to the atomic radius of Al, 2.86 Å.
5. The terminal phase does not have a fixed shape or a maximum size.
Heat Treatment of Aluminum Castings

Common Temper Designations: XXX - Z where Z is:

- **F** for foundry (more generally as-fabricated) temper
  - Castings supplied as-cast
  - Cheapest but not consistent, unstable if warmed up
  - Properties are part and process dependent

- **T5**
  - Artificially aged from the F-temper
  - Frequently called a stabilization treatment
    - Used to prevent growth in service

- **T4**
  - Solutionized and Water Quenched
  - Dimensionally unstable temper
  - Highest Ductility and conductivity (Castings can be straightened)
  - Usually used to set up casting for a subsequent artificial aging treatment
  - A206.0 - T4 castings are used for fracture toughness
  - Also helps burn out cores
• **T6 and T61 Tempers**
  - Artificially aged from the T4 temper
  - Gives maximum strength and hardness
  - Aging temperatures vary between 155°C and 200°C

• **T7 Temper**
  - Higher temperature aging treatment
  - Also a stabilization treatment
    - More predictable properties
  - Intentionally over-age the part
  - Not as strong but better ductility
  - Aging temperatures usually exceed 225°C
  - Frequently used on very strong alloys to maintain ductility

• **Wheel Tempers**
  - Variations on T6 which allow for in-process aging
    • Clear coating
    • Anything involving a paint-bake cycle
Typical Aging Curves for A356-T4

Comparison of Grain Refined to Unrefined A356-T4
(Aged for times shown at 155°C)
Conductivity Aging Curves for A356-T4

Electrical Conductivity: A function of Heat Treatment: Atoms in solution reduce the conductivity of Al. A good test for the state of the metal if you have a meter.
Interaction – Chemistry/Aging Time

Separately Cast PM Bars (ASTM B108)
- Strength and Hardness Increases with aging time and Mg content.
- Ductility generally drops with increasing strength at a given freezing rate.

D. Apelian, S. Shivkumar and G. Sigworth AFS Trans 89-137
Aging Response with Aging Temperature

Al-4% Cu

H.K. Hardy J. Inst Metals Vol 79, 1951, p 321

The Alloy was solution annealed for at least 48 h at 520 then water quenched to 25°C
A356-T6 vs. A356-T61

T61
- Solutionize, water quench and then natural age for minimum 8 hours
- Then artificially age
- Natural Age Serves as an Inoculation Step
  - Pre-cursors to the final aging precipitates form (GP Zones)
- Natural Age allows premature loss of hardening elements
- Alters the subsequent response to artificial aging
- Subsequent aging yields a different distribution of precipitates
- Advantage 1: Natural age time can be used for straightening if needed
- Advantage 2: tighter range of properties – reduces the scatter

T6
- Solutionize, water quench and then artificially age (immediately)
- Must be consistent to avoid increasing scatter in the results
Flow Chart - Wheel Plant

Melter → Ladle Transfer → Holder → Ladle/Upstalk → Mould

Solutionize → Visual → Fettling

Quench → Art’l Age

Visual + Leak Test → ClearCoat and/or Paint (Art’l Age)

Machining → Visual → Ship

Wheels and artificial aging.

Heh, over here!
Purpose of Solutionizing Stage for Al-Si-Mg Casting Alloys

• **Solutionizing Serves two Functions:**
  - Dissolution of Mg and even homogenisation of the alloy
  - Thermal modification of the Si phase
  - Historically, heat treatments were developed with both purposes in mind for *unmodified* alloys.

• **Today**
  - Modern casting processes produce much finer as-cast microstructures.
  - Chemical, as opposed to thermal, modification is common
    - **Less so in aerospace**
  - Heat treatments can be adjusted accordingly.
Evolution of Microstructure with Solutionizing Time

90 Minutes of Solutionizing

12 Hours of Solutionizing

DAS = 70 µm
90 Minutes of Solutionizing

DAS = 38 \mu m
4 Hours of Solutionizing

DAS = 38 μm
6 Hours of Solutionizing

DAS = 38µm
90 Minutes of Solutionizing

DAS = 25\(\mu m\)
USCAR Materials Property Database

United States Council for Automotive Research

- Has provided funding for many automotive related R&D Topics
- The Light Alloy Casting Project Generated a Database of Measurements
- 12 Production Castings were analyzed in depth to build this database
  - Yield Strength, UTS, 4D and 5D Percent Elongations, %Red’n Area
  - True Fracture Strength, True Fracture Ductility
  - Strength Coefficient, k and Strain Hardening Coefficient, n
  - Precision Modulus, Poisson’s Ratio
  - Hardness
  - Compressive Elastic Modulus and Yield Strengths
  - Fracture Toughness
  - Crack Growth Rate
  - Chemistry – Si, Fe, Cu, Mn, Mg, Zn, Ti
  - Density
  - Thermal Expansion Coefficient
  - Thermal Conductivity
  - Specific Heat
  - Metallographic Analysis
USCAR Materials Property Database

United States Council for Automotive Research

- Fatigue Properties
  - Initial cycle stress range (msi, mpa)
  - Initial cycle maximum stress (psi, mpa)
  - Initial cycle minimum stress (psi, mpa)
  - Half life stress range (psi, mpa)
  - Half life maximum stress (psi, mpa)
  - Half life minimum stress (psi, mpa)
  - Half life plastic strain(%) 
  - Half life elastic strain(%) 
  - \( n_i \), Cycles to crack initiation
  - \( n_t \), Cycles to failure
  - \( S'\gamma \), Cyclic yield strength (0.2% Offset)
  - \( n' \), Cyclic strain hardening exponent
  - \( K' \), Cyclic strength coefficient (psi, mpa)
  - \( s'f \), Fatigue strength coefficient (psi, mpa)
  - \( b \), Fatigue strength exponent
  - \( e'f \), Fatigue ductility coefficient
  - \( c \), Fatigue ductility exponent
Example Parts

Front Lower Control Arm

Front Steering Knuckle

Front Steering Knuckle

Rear Knuckle
Elongation 4D
TENSILE - ROOM - DESIGNATED

- Front Lower Control Arm - Tilt Pour
- Front Steering Knuckle (SC) - Squeeze Cast
- Front Steering Knuckle (VRC) - VRC/PRC
- Rear Knuckle - Tilt Pour

Tensile Summary
USCAR MATERIAL PROPERTIES DATABASE
Version 7.1
Correlation to Low Ductility Samples

Attempts to draw correlations between microstructural Measurements and the Variance in the Database

Looked at:
- Percent Porosity – generally very low (0.05%)
- Porosity Distribution and size (20 µm to 3 mm)
- Si Morphology – size and spacing

Concluded that:
- None of the bulk measurements correlated well to the ductility
- Low values arose from large pores that happened to be in the gauge region of the excised tensile bar
- High ductility values came from sound bars
Ultimate Strength
TENSILE - ROOM - DESIGNATED

- Front Lower Control Arm - Tilt Pour
- Front Steering Knuckle (SC) - Squeeze Cast
- Front Steering Knuckle (VRC) - VRC/PRC
- Rear Knuckle - Tilt Pour

Tensile Summary
USCAR MATERIAL PROPERTIES DATABASE
Version 7.1
Low Cycle Fatigue Strain Life Curve

FATIGUE - ROOM - DESIGNATED

- Front Lower Control Arm - Tilt Pour
- Front Steering Knuckle (SC) - Squeeze Cast
- Front Steering Knuckle (VRC) - VRC/PRC
- Rear Knuckle - Tilt Pour

USCAR MATERIAL PROPERTIES DATABASE  Version 7.1
Stahl Mould Properties Attainable Via Die Quenching

T5 Mechanical Properties with Part Cooling Technique
(Cooling Applied to Stahl Type SCBs Immediately after Removal from Die)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Ageing Time (hrs)</th>
<th>UTS (MPa)</th>
<th>Yield Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>% Elongation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A356 at 0.4% Mg</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Static Air:
- UTS
- 0.2% Yield
- % elongation

Air Quench:
- UTS
- Yield
- % elongation

Water Quench:
- UTS
- Yield
- % elongation
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Strength Comparison: Modified T5 to T61 (0.4% Mg)

Ageing Curves for A356T4 with 16 hrs Natural A3
(Aged at 155°C for Times Shown)

- Stress in MPa vs. Ageing Time in Hours
- UTS - Grain Refine
- UTS - Unrefined
- Yield - Grain Refine
- Yield - Unrefined

A357 at 0.4% Mg

- UTS (MPa) vs. Ageing Time (hrs)
- % Elongation

Air Quench:
- UTS
- 0.2% Yield
- % Elongation

Water Quench:
- UTS
- 0.2% Yield
- % Elongation
Strength Comparison: Modified T5 to T61 (0.7% Mg)

Ageing Curves for A356T4 with 16 hrs Natural A$_g$
(Aged at 155°C for Times Shown)

Ageing Time in Hours

Stress in MPa

UTS - Grain Refine
UTS - Unrefined
Yield - Grain Refine
Yield - Unrefined

Air Quench:
- UTS
- Yield
- % Elongation

Water Quench:
- UTS
- Yield
- % Elongation

A357 0.7% Mg
Table 2  Comparison of semisolid forging and permanent mold casting for the production of aluminum automobile wheels

See Example 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Weight direct from die or mold</th>
<th>Finished part weight</th>
<th>Production rate per die or mold, pieces per hour</th>
<th>Aluminum alloy</th>
<th>Heat treatment</th>
<th>Ultimate tensile strength</th>
<th>Yield strength</th>
<th>Elongation, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semisolid forging .....</td>
<td>7.5, 16.5</td>
<td>6.1, 13.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>T5</td>
<td>290, 42</td>
<td>214, 31</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent mold casting.</td>
<td>11.1, 24.5</td>
<td>8.6, 19.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>T6</td>
<td>221, 32</td>
<td>152, 22</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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